	John Harding & May Chu Harding 9505 N. 49 <sup>th</sup> Place Paradise Valley, AZ 85253 480-607-1830			
1				
2		_		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
4	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
5	John Harding & May Chu Harding	•		
6	John Harding & May Chu Harding 9505 N. 49th Place Paradise Valley, AZ 85253			
7	Pro Se			
8	Plaintiffs,			
9	-VS-	COMPLAINT		
10	Yeo Cheng Hoong, aka Helen Yeo 80 Raffles Place	COMILAINI		
11	#33-01 UOB Plaza 1			
12	Singapore 048624			
13				
	Defendant.			
14	Defendant.			
14 15	Defendant.	l		
	Defendant.			
15	Defendant. <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>			
15 16		ersity of citizenship		
15 16 17	Jurisdiction and Venue			
15 16 17 18	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive			
15 16 17 18 19	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00			
15 16 17 18 19 20	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Jurisdiction and Venue</li> <li>1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.</li> <li>2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.</li> </ul>	0, exclusive of		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Jurisdiction and Venue</li> <li>1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.</li> <li>2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.</li> <li>Complaint</li> </ul>	0, exclusive of		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.  2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.  Complaint  Plaintiffs John Harding and May Chu Harding hereby sue I	0, exclusive of		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as dive exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.  2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.  Complaint  Plaintiffs John Harding and May Chu Harding hereby sue I Cheng Hoong aka Helen Yeo and allege as follows:	0, exclusive of Defendant, Yeo		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Jurisdiction and Venue  1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as diversexists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,00 interest and costs.  2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.  Complaint  Plaintiffs John Harding and May Chu Harding hereby sue I. Cheng Hoong aka Helen Yeo and allege as follows:  Introduction	O, exclusive of Defendant, Yeo  opportunities,		

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### - °

## 

### 

#### 

# 

#### **Parties**

- 3. The Hardings are residents of the State of Arizona, and are husband and wife, residing at 9505 N. 49<sup>th</sup> Place, Paradise Valley, Arizona 85253. Plaintiff John Harding is a realtor licensed to practice in the State of Arizona.
- 4. Defendant, Yeo Cheng Hoong, aka Helen Yeo (Helen Yeo) is a citizen and resident of Singapore, and the wife of influential Singapore Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong. Helen Yeo is the principal of the law firm of Helen Yeo & Partners with offices in Singapore, Shanghai, Ho Chih Minh City, and Yangon, Burma and with associated offices in the United States, including San Francisco, California. Helen Yeo is also the principal of Orrick Helen Yeo Pte Ltd, a Singapore corporation and joint venture with the law firm of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP with its headquarters in San Francisco, California.

#### **Summary**

- The Hardings are the authors of the book *Escape from Paradise, From Third World to First*, (ISBN: 0971092907), which was published by IDKPress in October 2001. IDKPress is the d/b/a of Pacific Consulting Services, Inc., an
- Arizona corporation which is owned by the Hardings.
- Escape from Paradise, From Third World to First (Escape from Paradise) is a
- non-fiction book, taking place mainly in Singapore, and with the additional
- venues of Brunei, Australia, Hong Kong, London, California, and Illinois.
- Escape from Paradise is a true woman's story covering the life of its co-
- author, May Chu Harding. On a deeper level, Escape from Paradise is a
- commentary on people and places, with much of its focus on Singapore.
- Escape from Paradise has been accepted for distribution by the leading book
- distributors including The Ingram Group, Baker & Taylor, Bertrams (in the

United Kingdom), Bowker Books in Print, and Bowker Global Books in Print. 1 Escape from Paradise is not published by a major publisher, and has no 2 advertising budget. These facts make it extremely difficult to attract bookstores 3 to carry the book. 4 In February 2002, the Hardings big break came when Kinokuniya Company 5 Ltd. (Kinokuniya) decided to carry Escape from Paradise in their Singapore 6 stores. 7 Kinokuniya, a Japanese company, founded in 1927 is a major bookseller with 8 annual sales of \$1.039 billion (as of August 2001), with a chain of 142 stores 9 in Japan, and 21 stores Taiwan, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Australia, and 10 three stores in Singapore. In the United States, Kinokuniya has stores in New 11 York City, Edgewater, New Jersey, and San Francisco, California. Kinokuniya 12 also distributes books and has published more than 1,000 titles. 13 In addition to being carried by Kinokuniya, approximately 25 copies of *Escape* 14 from Paradise were purchased by Singapore's National Library, and placed on 15 loan to the general public in Singapore. Escape from Paradise did so well in 16 Singapore's National Library, that copies had to be put on reserve to be 17 obtained. 18 On March 22, 2002, the Hardings received an email [Exhibit 1.] from a friend 19 in Singapore, a lawyer, who wrote, "Hi May Chu, Heard from a friend who 20 purchased your book that when his friends went to Kinokuniya to buy the book 21 yesterday they were told that the book has been banned pending legal action. Is 22 this true, what's the comment from your side?" 23 At the time, the Hardings had no knowledge of who had approached 24 25

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In certain emails, in the Exhibits, the name of the Singapore party has been blacked out. This is to protect the person on the Singapore side from reprisals or other actions either from Helen Yeo, or from the Singapore authorities.

Kinokuniya or why they had been approached. 1 The Hardings contacted Kinokuniya by telephone numerous times, but were 2 unable to find out anymore than the fact that Escape from Paradise had been 3 removed from the bookstore. 4 In June 2002, Escape from Paradise was picked up by a second Singapore 5 bookstore, Select Books Pte Ltd (Select Books), which sold out its initial order 6 of 10 copies, and had ordered 40 additional copies. 7 On June 14, 2002, John Harding was able to contact Douglas Quek of 8 Kinokuniya in Singapore by telephone. Douglas Quek told John Harding that 9 he had received a letter from Helen Yeo's office asserting that Escape from 10 Paradise was inaccurate and defamatory. In the words of Douglas Quek, 11 "..the lawyer from Helen Yeo spoke to us and . . . there were some ah 12 like you say .. undertone of threat in the letter and we thought that ah 13 (inaudible) ah being a Japanese company, we don't want to hurt anybody 14 15 It had taken the Hardings three months from the time they first heard of the 16 removal of their book from Kinokuniya that the cause of the removal came 17 from Helen Yeo. 18 Helen Yeo had made no contact with the Hardings regarding her objections to 19 their book, choosing to hide from the Hardings what she had done. The 20 Kinokuniya employees were afraid or unwilling to inform the Hardings of 21 Helen Yeo's letter. 22 23 Although no legal action had been taken against *Escape from Paradise*, Kinokuniya followed Helen Yeo's wishes. This is the state of fear under which 24 most Singaporeans live. The fact that Helen Yeo's husband, Yeo Cheow Tong 25 is a Singapore Cabinet Minister gives her great influence in Singapore. It is 26 doubtful that had an "ordinary" citizen of Singapore approached Kinokuniya to 27

- remove *Escape from Paradise* from sale, that Kinokuniya would have complied.
- 3 | On June 12, 2002, John Harding was able to contact Douglas Quek,
- 4 | Kinokuniya's manager by telephone.
- 5 | Douglas Quek said he had read the book and liked it. He also said that
- 6 Kinokuniya still wanted to stock Escape from Paradise, and, if we could find a
- 7 | local Singapore distributor to handle the book, Kinokuniya might consider re-
- 8 || stocking the book. Unfortunately, the Hardings were unable to find a
- 9 | Singapore distributor.
- 10 | Helen Yeo, aside from being a lawyer, is the wife of Singapore Cabinet
- 11 | Minister Yeo Cheow Tong. As such, in the repressive political atmosphere of
- 12 | Singapore, she is feared. In Singapore, a country which bans satellite dishes,
- 13 sues its opposition politicians into bankruptcy, and has the power to imprison
- 14 | people for an unlimited time without charging them under its Internal Security
- 15 Act, Helen Yeo, the wife of Singapore Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong is
- 16 | feared for good reason.
- 17 | In July 2002, Select Books discontinued their sale of *Escape from Paradise*.
- 18 | Escape from Paradise does not mention any act on the part of Helen Yeo, or of
- 19 her firm, and is not defamatory to Helen Yeo, or to any other party mentioned
- 20 | in the book. It does mention that two junior lawyers of Helen Yeo's firm were
- 21 | the original shareholders of Goldplus Investments Pte Ltd (Goldplus), the
- 22 | Singapore corporation which purchased the attached houses at 69 and 67
- 23 | Holland Road (the Holland Road properties), from May Chu Harding's father,
- 24 Lee Teng Jin, and his brother Lee Teng Lay, respectively.
- 25 | The sale of the Holland Road properties took place in 1995.
- 26 | May Chu Harding has not seen nor heard of or from her father since 1993
- 27 despite extensive efforts to locate him. In 1996 a missing persons report was

filed with the Singapore police [Exhibit 2.] in an attempt to locate May Chu's 1 father, but to no avail. 2 At the time of writing the book, the Hardings did not know nor did they say 3 that Goldplus had been incorporated by Helen Yeo's law firm, only that the 4 original shareholders of Goldplus were Helen Yeo's employees, Janet Tan and 5 Alvin Chia, junior lawyers at the time. 6 In a letter sent to the Hardings on July 8, 2002 [Exhibit 3.], Helen Yeo 7 admitted that her firm incorporated Goldplus. If the Hardings stumbled upon 8 the scene of dubious activity on Helen Yeo's part, they did not know it at the 9 time, nor did they mention it in their book. 10 Helen Yeo, in her letter to the Hardings, threatening to sue the Hardings for 11 defamation, stating, 12 "My husband, Yeo Cheow Tong and I are very blessed to enjoy 13 extremely good reputations in our respective areas. The damages that 14 our firm and I will get on a defamation action in Singapore will be 15 substantial. This is sufficient reason for any book-seller not to sell your 16 book as they are then also liable for damages for distributing the 17 defamation originated by you." 18 Helen Yeo also threatened to take legal action against the Hardings in the 19 United States, stating, "We will also now explore what action we should take 20 in USA, where you are residing." 21 Helen Yeo's letter contains false statements made knowingly and intentionally 22 to deceive the Hardings, and against which the Hardings have irrefutable 23 documentary proof on official Singapore government paper, copies of which 24 are included in the exhibits of this complaint. 25 26 On July 8, 2002, John Harding answered Helen Yeo's letter, stating,

27

"Whether or not you knew it or consented to it, the fact that your firm

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

incorporated Goldplus, plus the fact that your lawyers were the original shareholders of Goldplus attaches to Goldplus the prestige of your firm's reputation. This is all that was said in the book. We do not know, nor do we claim that you were involved in this matter."

In a conciliatory manner, while not agreeing with Helen Yeo's charges or many demands, John Harding offered to remove certain items from the book's website (http://www.escapefromparadise.com) provided that Helen Yeo withdraw the defamatory remarks made by her, or on her behalf to Kinokuniya, in such manner that Escape from Paradise could again be sold by Kinokuniya and Select Books. A copy of John Harding's letter of July 8, 2002 to Helen Yeo is contained in Exhibit 4.

Helen Yeo has never answered John Harding's letter.

#### Background and Merits of Escape from Paradise

Escape from Paradise has received excellent reviews and comments from a wide range of distinguished and qualified readers, including C. V. Devan Nair, the former President of Singapore, Francis T. Seow, the former Solicitor General of Singapore, and well-known literary figures including Jonathan Burnham, President of Talk Miramax Books, and Alice Mayhew of Simon and Schuster.

The Hardings have been contacted by regarding film and television rights for their book by Mark Gordon, whose recent credits include Saving Private Ryan, directed by Steven Spielberg, starring Tom Hanks, and Wonderboys, starring Michael Douglas. [Exhibit 5.]

Sonia Land, the chief executive of Sheil Land Associated Limited, a literary agency located in London, personally represented the Harding's book to Singapore's leading publisher, Times Publishing, Ltd. Sonia Land represents

such notable authors as Seve Ballesteros, Alan Drury, and HRH The Prince of 1 Wales. Sonia Land's letter to the Hardings is included as Exhibit 6. 2 During the period from October 2002 to the present time, the Hardings 3 received numerous requests from other literary agents, foreign literary scouts, 4 and film/television agents regarding seeking to represent Escape from 5 Paradise. A sampling of these requests, which demonstrate the commercial 6 appeal and viability of *Escape from Paradise* are bundled in Exhibit 7. 7 The foregoing facts represent only a small part of the evidence that 8 demonstrates Escape from Paradise is a serious book of literary merit. It also 9 demonstrates the fact that responsible people, including the lawyers who vetted 10 the book, saw nothing in it of a defamatory nature. 11 With the sole exception of Helen Yeo, to the Harding's knowledge, not one 12 single person has termed the book as being defamatory. This includes 13 Singapore's Chief Justice Yong Pung How, who ordered the book directly 14 from IDKPress on January 17, 2002. Had Singapore's Chief Justice seen the 15 book as being defamatory, he certainly would have taken action by this time, 16 and the book would not have been purchased by Singapore's National Library. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### **Facts and Chronology**

The writing of *Escape from Paradise*, a factual work, took a great deal of effort by the Hardings. The book is 442 pages long. Aside from the accumulation of documents and other factual material to produce the book by May Chu Harding over the period of her 15 year marriage, it took the authors another four years to produce the book. This includes the organization of nearly 20 file boxes of documents and recordings, as well as the actual writing of the book. Except for In order to devote time to this effort, John Harding resigned from Citibank, Singapore where, for six years, he held a senior position in Information

Technology. For the three years prior to that, John Harding was in charge of 1 the computer operations of Singapore's tax authority, the Inland Revenue 2 Department, and held a senior position in Singapore's Civil Service. 3 May Chu Harding was born in Singapore and is a citizen of Singapore, and a 4 Permanent Resident of the United States. 5 On August 8, 2001, the Hardings received an email from the former Solicitor 6 General of Singapore, Francis T. Seow, who, in 1988, was imprisoned without 7 charges for 72 days under Singapore's Internal Security Act for associating 8 with American diplomats. Subsequently, Mr. Seow moved to the United States 9 where he wrote about his imprisonment in the book entitled *To Catch a Tartar*, 10 published by Yale University. Mr. Seow was also made a Fellow of Harvard 11 University. 12 Mr. Seow recommended to the Hardings that they commence their marketing 13 efforts in Singapore, complimenting their book by stating, 14 15 "No one, however, has written so well of the other side of paradise. Your observations on the Singaporean ethos, the educational system, among 16 others, are insightful emanating as they do from the perspective of an 17 ordinary Singaporean and a mother. Your hands-on experience with the 18 legal and judicial system is revealing and represents the tip of a nasty 19 iceberg." 20 Mr. Seow's full review of *Escape from Paradise* is given in Exhibit 8. 21 On January 17, 2002, the Hardings received an email from Singapore's 22 Supreme Court ordering a copy of Escape from Paradise for Singapore's Chief 23 Justice Yong Pung How [Exhibit 9.]. 24 On February 18, 2002, Kinokuniya Bookstores of Singapore Pte Ltd contacted 25 the Hardings [Exhibit 10.] expressing their interest in carrying two of the 26

27

Harding's titles, Escape from Paradise, and a second book, Dowager Empress

1	2000: The Most Powerful Woman in Asia. (This second book was a biography	
2	of the wife of Singapore's Senior Minister Lee Kuan Yew. The Hardings have	
3	suspended work on this book due to their difficulties with Helen Yeo.)	
4	Kinokuniya ordered and received 10 copies of Escape from Paradise.	
5	On March 22, 2002, the Hardings received the aforementioned email	
6	[Exhibit 1.] from their Singapore lawyer friend with the news that <i>Escape from</i>	
7	Paradise may have been banned. From March 22, 2002, through March 27,	
8	The Hardings made numerous telephone calls to Kinokuniya at +65 6737 5021	
9	[Exhibit 11.], but the Kinokuniya staff was unwilling or unable to give any	
10	information on why the book had been removed from the store.	
11	On May 1, 2002, C. V. Devan Nair, former President of Singapore, sent an	
12	email [Exhibit 12.] to May Chu Harding, writing,	
13	"Dear May Chu,	
14	A cowed population in a closed society is the dubious legacy of LKY's	
15	PAP. An enfeebling legacy that bodes ill for the survival of Singapore in	
16	a volatile milieu. Only a free people will deserve to survive in a world	
17	where we don't know even the step in front.	
18	I have finished reading your book. A moving memoir that only a	
19	woman could have written, it is a unique contribution to the appreciation	
20	of a life in Singapore.	
21	Thank you for having written it.	
22	Do keep in touch.	
23	Devan N"	
24	In his very kind email, Singapore's former President made no indication that	
25	Escape from Paradise was defamatory in any way.	
26	On May 1, 2002, Wai Keng Low, Librarian of the Singapore National Library	
27	sent an email [Exhibit 13.] to John Harding, requesting his year of birth for the	

proper cataloging of *Escape from Paradise* in Singapore's National Library. 1 In his email, Wai Keng Low gave no indication that *Escape from Paradise* was 2 defamatory in any way. The very fact that Wai Keng Low was in 3 communication with John Harding attests to the fact that Escape from Paradise 4 was acceptable to the Singapore government, and was not defamatory. 5 On June 5, 2002, Ivy Tan of Select Books in Singapore sent an email [Exhibit 6 14.] to the Hardings expressing an interest in purchasing 10 copies of *Escape* 7 from Paradise. The books were shipped to Singapore and put on sale at Select 8 Books. 9 After making numerous attempts to find out from Kinokuniya who had caused 10 the Hardings' book to be removed from sale, on June 12, 2002, John Harding 11 was finally able to contact Douglas Quek, the manager of Kinokuniya, 12 Singapore. [Exhibit 15. contains a copy of the telephone charge for the call.] 13 John Harding opened the conversation by telling Douglas Quek that Escape 14 from Paradise now had a distributor for Asia, the well-known firm of Baker 15 and Taylor. Douglas Quek responded that he had read Escape from Paradise 16 and like the book, but wished the people in Singapore were more open. 17 Douglas Quek then revealed that Helen Yeo had been the cause of removing 18 Escape from Paradise from sale, 19 John Harding asked Douglas Quek two more times to identify the lawyer who 20 was objecting, and both times Douglas Quek repeated that it was Helen Yeo, 21 and that she was not representing anybody, but was attacking the book as 22 being, 23 "not correct or not true . . . so they they request us to remove this book 24 off the shelf ... Like I say, from our angle we wish not to have any 25 problems, so that's why we took it off the shelf. But legally, like I say,

27 28

26

we would like to put it up there."

Douglas Quek understood that this was not done by the Singapore government, but was a personal matter on Helen Yeo's part and commented on her actions saying,

"Yeah it has nothing to with the Singapore Sedition Act or Internal Security Act."

Helen Yeo, wife of Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong, had used her considerable personal influence, aided and abetted by her position as wife of Singapore Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong, to have Escape from Paradise removed from Kinokuniya's Singapore bookstores, thereby damaging the Hardings' commercially reasonable expectation of having their book sold, not only in Singapore but throughout Kinokuniya's bookstores worldwide, which would lead to their book's acceptance by other leading retailers. Helen Yeo's tortious interference with the sale of *Escape from Paradise*, her defamation of the Hardings and her fraudulent misrepresentation damage the Hardings throughout Asia, and especially and particularly in the United States. On June 14, 2002, John Harding, unable to contact Helen Yeo via email, wrote a letter [Exhibit 16.] to Helen Yeo's American joint venture partner, Ralph J. Baxter Jr, Chairman & CEO of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe in San Francisco, California to make him aware of the extra-legal and damaging action that Helen Yeo had taken against Escape from Paradise book in Singapore, and to inform Mr. Baxter that,

"Our goal is to have our book freely marketed and read. Helen Yeo through her action against us should understand that she risks bringing damaging publicity on herself, Singapore, and on her associates."

The Hardings hoped that Mr. Baxter could prevail upon Helen Yeo to drop her objections to their book. A copy of this letter was also sent to Singapore's Chief Justice Yong Pung How.

23

24

25

26

27

On June 23, 2002, Glen Goei sent an email to May Chu Harding [Exhibit 17.], 1 writing, 2 "Bought the book from Select this weekend and can't put it down! It's a 3 great read! And so nostalgic for me -- the good old days!" 4 Glen Goei is the writer and director of the Miramax film That's the Way I Like 5 It and played the title role opposite Anthony Hopkins in the London production 6 of M. Butterfly. Glen Goei's email confirmed to the Hardings that Escape from 7 Paradise was still on sale at Select Books. Glen Goei is a successful 8 personality in the entertainment industry, and his email provided another 9 positive validation of the quality of Escape from Paradise, and a possible 10 opportunity for the Hardings to promote their book to the film industry. Glen 11 Goei gave no indication that he saw anything defamatory in the Hardings' 12 book. 13 On June 25, 2002, the Hardings received another confirmation that the book 14 was on sale and a success at Select Books in an email [Exhibit 18.], from 15 Singapore author, Jacob George who wrote, 16 "In case you didn't know, Select bookstores here in s'pore brought in 17 about 40 copies of your book and i heard its selling well. I bought a copy 18 too and now i'm at the chapter entitled Disinheritance 101. Very 19 interesting and mostly I feel sad for what you had to go through." 20 This email was very encouraging to the Hardings, as it indicated that, in 21 addition to the original ten copies they had sent, Select Books had ordered 22 23 another 40 copies of their book. Shortly after the good news that Escape from Paradise was doing well at 24 Select Books, the Hardings received a telephone call from Singapore that their 25 26 book was no longer available at that bookstore. To the Hardings' knowledge, their book was no longer commercially available 27

anywhere in Singapore. The Hardings do not know if Select Books had been 1 contacted directly by Helen Yeo or her associates. 2 As former residents of Singapore, the Hardings did know that once the word 3 got out that Helen Yeo objected to their book, other bookstores, outlets, and 4 distributors would fall into the party line. 5 Singapore is a one-party state. In the last election, the ruling party, the Peoples 6 Action Party (PAP) won 82 of the 84 seats in the Singapore Parliament. The 7 PAP has been in power since 1959. Helen Yeo's husband, Cabinet Minister 8 Yeo Cheow Tong, a member of the PAP, was first elected to Singapore's 9 Parliament as an unopposed candidate in 1981, and has held various 10 Ministerial positions in the Singapore Government since 1985. 11 Singapore's political opposition candidates are often sued for defamation by 12 13 the ruling party, usually for some statement made during elections. Defamation is a favorite tool used by the rulers of Singapore to destroy their opposition. 14 15 Singapore's Prime Minister, Lee Kuan Yew, and other ruling party members sued opposition candidate Tang Lian Hong for damages in the Singapore High 16 Court and were awarded S\$8.08 million (US\$4.6 million) in damages. 17 Opposition leader Benjamin Jeyaretnam was driven to bankruptcy after losing 18 more than \$\$900,000 in defamation suits to ruling party members. Even the 19 International Herald Tribune was sued and lost S\$650,000 to Singapore's 20 rulers. Time Magazine's circulation was severely restricted by Singapore in 21 1997, until they printed what amounted to an apology for an article 22 23 sympathetic to the plight of Benjamin Jeyaretnam. The Dow Jones publication, the Asian Wall Street Journal, and the Economist have also suffered partial 24 bans by Singapore for articles not to Singapore's liking. Banning and suing for 25 26 defamation are often used tactics in Singapore, where trial by jury has been

27

abolished to be replaced by trial by government appointed Judges.

The Hardings attempted to send a letter by email directly to Helen Yeo, but 1 their emails were rejected, apparently by Helen Yeo's email server. Whether 2 this blockage was intentional or not, the Hardings do not know. The Hardings 3 also attempted to contact Helen Yeo by fax, but received no confirmation that 4 their fax had been received by Helen Yeo. 5 Consequently, on July 3, 2002, John Harding sent an open letter to Helen Yeo 6 in the form of an email [Exhibit 19.] sent to members of law firms in 7 Singapore. 8 In this open letter, John Harding pointed out Helen Yeo's privileged position 9 as the wife of Singapore Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong, and pointed out 10 her outrageous behavior, writing, 11 "If our book is OK with the Singapore Government, why are you so 12 afraid of it? You are barely mentioned in our book, but your behavior is 13 making you look guilty." 14 15 On July 5, 2002, the Hardings received an email from a Singaporean with whom they were not acquainted [Exhibit 20. - sender's name is blacked out]. 16 This email confirmed that Escape from Paradise was no longer on sale at 17 Select Books. The sender wrote, 18 "I have been trying to get my sticky little mits on a copy and even went 19 to Kinokuniya Books, but I seem to be a step behind the boorish Mrs. 20 Yeo." 21 The sender then went to Select Books where he was told that they were no 22 longer selling Escape from Paradise, nor would they accept the sender's order 23 for a the book. 24 On July 8, 2002, Helen Yeo sent a demanding and arrogant letter to the 25 26 Hardings by email [Exhibit 3.]. Although accusing the Hardings of "defamation," Helen Yeo failed to cite any facts to support her charge. 27

Helen Yeo charged that, in their book, the Hardings defamed her and members 1 of her firm in an affair relating to a firm known as Goldplus Investments Pte 2 Ltd (Goldplus). 3 We will show not only that the Hardings did not defame Helen Yeo or her 4 partners in their book, but that the Hardings took pains to withhold from 5 publication facts that are extremely damaging to Helen Yeo. Helen Yeo, 6 herself, plays no part in the Hardings' book, and is barely mentioned in on 7 several pages of one chapter [Exhibit 21.]. 8 Our following exposure of the misleading and false statements has in Helen 9 Yeo's letter to the Hardings has little to do with the subject matter of *Escape* 10 from Paradise, as the facts relating to these statements are not mentioned in the 11 book. 12 However, Helen Yeo's misleading and false statements clearly establish the 13 motive for her actions against the Hardings. Helen Yeo has something to hide 14 15 which is her reason for taking the opportunity of attacking the Hardings' book. Helen Yeo's misleading and false statements demonstrate also that, even being 16 a lawyer, she has <u>no</u> regard for the truth in this matter. 17 In Helen Yeo's letter of July 8, 2002, to the Hardings she writes, 18 "Your book and web-site are defamatory of our firm, HelenYeo & 19 Partners, Alvin Chia (a partner), Janet Tan (formerly one of our lawyers) 20 and me, for the reasons given below. 21 My partners and I have known for some time that you have been selling 22 23 your book in Singapore and advertising the book on your web-site. We also have known for some time that your web-site highlighted that the 24 book was being sold at Select Books in Singapore and that 18 copies 25 were on loan at our National Library. The web-site has been revised in 26

27

the last few days to say that there are now 25 copies on loan in the

National Library.

The defamatory letter dated 14 June 2002 that you wrote to Mr Ralph Baxter, Chairman of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, with whom our firm has a joint law venture called Orrick HelenYeo, was also given to me a few weeks ago by Orrick. The letter to Baxter showed that you had even copied that letter to the Chief Justice of Singapore. This letter is highly defamatory and calculated by you to embarrass our firm and me and cause economic loss to us.

Despite all of the above, we had deliberately not taken action against you, since to do so would give your book the publicity that you are trying to generate at our expense and detriment."

Although Helen Yeo claims, in her letter, that the Hardings' book and website are defamatory "for the reasons given below," Helen Yeo fails to state a single "reason" or fact to demonstrate that there is <u>anything</u> defamatory in the Hardings' book or website. <u>Nowhere</u>, in Helen Yeo's letter is any evidence given of defamation on the Hardings' part.

The reason Helen Yeo fails to be specific regarding the Hardings' supposed defamation is clear—the Hardings have not defamed Helen Yeo or her partners in any way.

Helen Yeo's defamatory charges against the Hardings and fraudulent misrepresentations made to the Hardings are intended only to intimidate and mislead the Hardings in the same way as she intimidated and misled Kinokuniya.

Helen Yeo states in her letter,

"It came to our attention on 3 July 2002 that you had sent an email with the title 'An Open Letter to Helen Yeo, Singapore Lawyer' to many law firms. That email is highly defamatory of our firm and me. The email is

also a shameful invasion of privacy, and a vicious attack on my daughter for no rhyme or reason."

The Hardings did not make any attack on Helen Yeo's daughter. In their open letter to Helen Yeo, they pointed out that Helen Yeo, her husband Cabinet Minster Yeo Cheow Tong, and her daughter Wenxian Yeo led a life of privilege. The Hardings cited certain facts to support their contention of the Yeo's life of privilege including the fact that Wenxian Yeo lived in a luxury Manhattan apartment and worked for J. P. Morgan on Park Avenue, and is a director of six of Helen Yeo's corporations. Wenxian Yeo is only 23 years old. Although Wenxian Yeo is depriving an American worker of a job, the Hardings pointed out that they were not complaining about this fact, while, in contrast, Helen Yeo has had the Hardings book "taken off the shelf." These statements by the Hardings may be embarrassing to Helen Yeo, but they are factual and not defamatory.

Helen Yeo states, in her letter,

"It is about time that you stop the defamation and the harassment of my family, the law firm of HelenYeo & Partners and me. As a result of your recent vicious actions, we have no choice but to take action in Singapore to stop the sale and distribution of your book on the ground that it is defamatory of HelenYeo & Partners, Alvin Chia, Janet Tan and me, even though you are not resident here. We will also now explore what action we should take in USA, where you are residing In view of your recent offensive actions, we will take action against all persons in Singapore who continue to publish or distribute the defamation originating from you . . . My husband, Yeo Cheow Tong and I are very blessed to enjoy extremely good reputations in our respective areas. The damages that our firm and I will get on a defamation action in

5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

Singapore will be substantial. This is sufficient reason for any bookseller not to sell your book as they are then also liable for damages for distributing the defamation originated by you."

Suing for defamation is the standard practice used by autocrats of Singapore to silence and to bring financial ruin on their opposition. The Hardings realize that Helen Yeo could unfairly win a defamation suit against them in Singapore's compliant courts, in the same manner that such lawsuits have been won against Tang Lian Hong, Benjamin Jeyaretnam, and the International Herald Tribune.

In her letter, Helen Yeo instructs the Hardings to

"Please do the following . . . re-publish your book without the defamation against HelenYeo & Partners, Alvin Chia, Janet Tan, and me . . . amend your website . . . Send an email and fax to each of the law firms and the persons you have emailed or faxed the offensive email to, attaching a copy of this letter and also an apology from you in form acceptable to us . . . Publish an apology on your web-site and such other media identified by us, in form acceptable to us."

In her letter, Helen Yeo addresses the facts relating to the purchase by Goldplus of the Holland Road properties from Lee Teng Jin and Lee Teng Lay,

"Here are the facts relating to Goldplus for you to have your lawyers verify:

"The book entitled "Escape from Paradise" by John and May Chu Harding is defamatory of Helen Yeo, Alvin Chia, Janet Tan and our firm. The offending chapter is entitled "The Scam of 69 Holland Road". (Unbeknownst to Helen Yeo, the Hardings' lawyers in Singapore, Kang Associates, had already verified the facts relating to the Goldplus purchase of the Holland Road properties [Exhibit 22.], as it was necessary for the Hardings

to include factually correct information in their book.) 1 The title of the "offending chapter," The Scam of 69 Holland Road refers, not 2 to any scam by Helen Yeo, but a to scam by Lee Teng Jin, the father of the 3 book's co-author, May Chu Harding. Briefly, Lee Teng Jin had been sued by 4 his wife for divorce. In order to avoid paying a court-ordered financial 5 settlement awarded to his wife, Lee Teng Jin and his brother (their houses were 6 connected) quickly sold the Holland Road properties to Goldplus. Lee Teng Jin 7 disappeared with his part of the proceeds. Normally, during a divorce in 8 Singapore, which prides itself on its legal system, it is virtually impossible for 9 either party to the divorce to transfer or to hide assets during the divorce. 10 The recorded sales price of the Holland Road properties \$\$9,820,000 (US\$5.6) 11 million), with \$\$4,800,000 being Lee Teng Jin's share. It is not easy to sell 12 such an expensive property quickly, especially when one is being sued for 13 divorce. In spite of these difficulties, Lee Teng Jin succeeded. 14 15 Helen Yeo's letter continues with the misleading statements, "There is no truth whatsoever to the allegations in the book against our 16 lawyers and our firm. We had no involvement at all, whether on behalf 17 of Lee Teng Jin or otherwise, in the sale or transfer of Nos. 67 and 69 18 Holland Road. Lee Teng Jin is not a client of our firm." 19 The Hardings made no allegations against the lawyers in Helen Yeo's firm The 20 Hardings have known since January 1999 from Kang Associates that Helen 21 Yeo's lawyers did not do the transfer work for the Holland Road properties. 22 The Hardings know that the lawyer for the transferee is Albert Yeo, and the 23 lawyer for the transferor is Eunice Chee Ai Lin, and have not made 24 representations otherwise. 25 The Hardings' book makes no allegations against Helen Yeo's lawyers or her

26

27

firm. It does state the true fact that two of her junior lawyers were the owners

of Goldplus, which corporation was used to purchase the properties of Lee 1 Teng Jin and his brother. True facts do not constitute defamation. 2 Helen Yeo's letter continues, 3 "Our firm incorporated the company Goldplus Investment Pte Ltd 4 ("Goldplus") on 7 July 1994." 5 Until receiving Helen Yeo's letter, the Hardings had <u>no</u> knowledge of the fact 6 that Helen Yeo's firm had incorporated Goldplus. Given this new revelation, 7 how can Helen Yeo claim, "We had no involvement at all . . .?" 8 Helen Yeo continues with a statement so misleading as to constitute an outright 9 falsehood, writing, 10 "Our lawyers resigned as directors on 28 July 1994. Our lawyers 11 transferred the two subscriber shares to two shareholders who were 12 connected with Habitat Properties Private Limited, an established well 13 known property developer in Singapore." 14 15 It is critical to note that, although Helen Yeo gives a date on which her lawyers resigned as directors, no date is given when they transferred their subscriber 16 shares. Helen Yeo would have the reader believe that her lawyers, Alvin Chia 17 and Janet Tan (who are named in the book), who she claims, "resigned as 18 directors on 28 July 1994" also transferred their shares at the same time they 19 resigned as directors. This is not the case. 20 The Singapore Registry of Companies and Business (RCB) the official site for 21 all Singapore company records shows that as of August 30, 1995, that Alvin 22 Chia and Janet Tan were still shareholders of Goldplus. The Hardings possess 23 the official RCB document, printed on Singapore government paper, a copy of 24 which appears as Exhibit 23. 25 26 Helen Yeo goes on to state one irrelevant fact and one intentional falsehood

27

constituting fraudulent misrepresentation,

3.

our lawyers resigned as the directors of Goldplus on 28 July 1994;

Habitat was allotted 999,998 shares on 8 June 1995, making a 4. total issued capital of 1,000,000 shares, all of which were owned by Habitat. A search of the public records of Habitat will show that none of our lawyers own shares in Habitat and neither are they directors."

Statements 1. and 2., above, are correct, and are corroborated by the letter from Kang Associates to the Hardings.

Statement 3. is misleading, as Helen Yeo's lawyers were still shareholders of Goldplus, as shown in the RCB records as of August 30, 1995. The Hardings have the original printout of the RCB records on official Singapore government paper.

Statement 4. contains the second false statement in Helen Yeo's letter, which is apparent even in the mathematics. If Habitat was allotted 999,998 of the issued capital of 1,000,000 shares, someone else must own the remaining two shares.

The RCB records, as of August 30, 1995 show those remaining two shares as being owned by Janet Tan and Alvin Chua, Helen Yeo's lawyers.

"In addition, if the authors had made a search of the share register of Goldplus at its registered office (which any member of the public is entitled to do), they would have established easily that the two subscriber shares for the incorporation of Goldplus were transferred by our lawyers to the directors of Habitat in 1994."

For the third time in her letter, Helen Yeo makes a false statement by writing, "that that the two subscriber shares for the incorporation of Goldplus were transferred by our lawyers to the directors of Habitat in 1994." This is not in accordance with the RCB record of July 30, 1995, which shows that Helen Yeo's lawyers were still shareholders of Goldplus.

Helen Yeo concludes by writing,

23

24

25

26

27

transferred to Goldplus with the same transfer dates and set of contracts, how

can this difference in recorded ownership at IRAS be explained? 1 This "irregularity" in IRAS records would be advantageous to Lee Teng Jin. 2 On November 2, 1995, Singapore Judge Chao Hick Tin awarded Lee Teng 3 Jin's wife a settlement of S\$1,445,456.30 (approximately US\$820,000)against 4 Lee Teng Jin. This was in advance to the date of transfer for Lee Teng Jin's 5 property, but after the IRAS record showed the property as belonging to 6 Goldplus. 7 Were Lee Teng Jin's wife to check the IRAS property rolls, she would believe, 8 incorrectly, that 69 Holland Road, to which she had a claim, had already been 9 transferred to Goldplus, which was not the case. 10 The recording of what appears to be a false record of property ownership with 11 IRAS raises a serious question about the Goldplus affair. Large amounts of 12 money were involved. Lee Teng Jin's proceeds from the sale of 69 Holland 13 Road were recorded as being S\$4,800,000 (approximately US\$2.7 million). 14 15 If the IRAS record for Lee Teng Jin's property at 69 Holland Road was falsified to state that the owner was Goldplus was done purposefully, and the 16 Hardings assert no knowledge of the specific facts involved, nor do they allege 17 that this is the case, it would appear to require influence at the highest levels of 18 the Singapore government. 19 On July 8, 2002, John Harding wrote a letter [Exhibit 4.] to Helen Yeo in 20 answer to her letter of that same date. John Harding's letter pointed out to 21 Helen Yeo that her threat to Kinokuniya was made with no court order as there 22 23 was no legal basis for her action. Helen Yeo had been able to ban Escape from Paradise by virtue of the position 24 the she and her husband, Cabinet Minister Yeo Cheow Tong hold in Singapore 25 26 society, and that "Had an 'average' Singaporean made the same threat to Kinokuniya Books, it would have carried no weight, and our book would still 27

1	be on sale in Singapore."	
2	John Harding denied to Helen Yeo that his letter to Ralph Baxter, Chairman of	
3	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, was defamatory, and asserted that his copying	
4	of the letter to Singapore's Chief Justice was to inform him of Helen Yeo's	
5	unwarranted and extra-legal behavior. Helen Yeo is a lawyer and an officer of	
6	the court.	
7	John Harding explained that he had taken action to defend himself and to earn	
8	a living, and that Helen Yeo must learn to bear the consequences of her	
9	actions.	
LO	John Harding pointed out that although certain facts make the conveyance of	
L1	the Holland Road properties suspect, none of this was attributed to Helen Yeo	
L2	or her firm in the book. John Harding explained at length that the book	
L3	defamed no one, but offered to remove certain items from his website provided	
L4	that Helen Yeo see to it that Escape from Paradise was again available in	
L5	bookstores in Singapore.	
L6	John Harding closed his letter on a conciliatory note stating, "As our book does	
L7	not defame you, you would appear to be overacting to what we have written.	
L8	This does not put you or your associates in a good light.	
L9	I am sending this letter only to you, and hold, in confidence, your letter to me	
20	in the hopes that we can come to an amicable agreement."	
21	Helen Yeo has <u>never</u> answered John Harding's letter.	
22	<u>Conclusion</u>	
23	Helen Yeo, a Singapore lawyer, wife of Singapore Cabinet Minister Yeo	
24	Cheow Tong, and joint venture partner of the respected San Francisco law firm	
25	of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe has lied three times in her letter to the	
26	Hardings. This constitutes intentional fraudulent misrepresentation attests to	
27	Helen Yeo's lack of regard for the truth. Similarly, Helen Yeo's	

misrepresentation of the contents of Escape from Paradise to Kinokuniya constitutes a second act of intentional fraudulent misrepresentation against the Hardings, as well as defamation and tortious interference with the Harding's business opportunities. Helen Yeo, who considers herself and her husband to be "blessed," has clearly demonstrated that she has no regard for the truth, and no respect for the law. Her abuse of power her privileged position in Singapore and her fraudulent misrepresentations to Kinokuniya and to the Hardings show that Helen Yeo considers herself to be above the law. 

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand:

- 1. Monetary damages in the amount of \$1,000,000 amounting to the equivalent of four (4) years salary and benefits to John Harding, which he gave up in order to write *Escape from Paradise*.
- 2. Monetary damages to May Chu Harding of \$2,000,000 maliciously casting doubt on credibility of the valuable information that May Chu Harding spent fifteen years collecting in the course of an unhappy marriage, and a traumatic divorce in Singapore.
- 3. Monetary damages in the amount of \$5,000,000 for Helen Yeo's tortious interference with the Harding's business opportunities.
- 4. Monetary damages in the amount of \$1,000,000 for the defamation of the Hardings, and consequent damage to their reputations as authors.
- 5. Monetary damages in the amount of \$250,000 for Helen Yeo's fraudulent misrepresentation of the contents of *Escape from Paradise*, to Kinokuniya and equivalent amounts for Helen Yeo's fraudulent misrepresentation of the contents of *Escape from Paradise* to others yet to be determined.

1	6. Monetary damages in the a	amount of \$50,000 for Helen Yeo's fraudulent	
2	misrepresentations in her l	etter of July 8, 2002 to the Hardings.	
3	7. Punitive damages		
4	8. Costs		
5	9. Any further relief which the court may deem appropriate.		
6			
7	Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues so triable.		
8	Respectfully submitted,		
9		T 1 II 1'	
10		John Harding 9505 N. 49 <sup>th</sup> Place	
11		Paradise Valley, AZ 85253 480-607-1830	
12			
13		May Chu Harding	
14		May Chu Harding 9505 N. 49 <sup>th</sup> Place Paradise Valley A 7 85253	
15		Paradise Valley, AZ 85253 480-607-1830	
16	Date		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			
27			
28			
ں ∟	11		